

**PLAN PRINCE GEORGE'S 2035 IMPLEMENTATION
AND INFRASTRUCTURE TASK FORCE**

May 20, 2024 Meeting Minutes

Attendees:

CM Thomas Dernoga
Andrea Croom
Evelyn Hoban
Nathan Forman
Scott Rowe
Kierre McCune
Bradley Heard
Ronald Weiss
Ashlee Green
James Riley
Fred Tutman

Staff:

Marian Honeczky
Rana Hightower

Guests:

Katina Shoulars, Chief, Countywide Planning Division, M-NCPPC
Bobby Ray, Supervisor Special Projects (SPS), Countywide Planning Division M-NCPPC

Welcome (CM Dernoga)

Attendance - Have quorum.

Recap of Last Meeting - Meeting minutes approved

Revisit of Mission Statement

The statement is copied from the Council Resolution. There was discussion of combining this task force with Climate Action's as the missions' overlap but not the membership; Plan 2035 is land use based while Climate Action is not. Task Force needs to look from a Plan 2035 viewpoint and Climate Action's land use sections are from Plan 2035. CM Dernoga and Ms Croom will discuss further.

Power Point Presentation: none

Continued discussion of May 3rd presentation:

At the conclusion of the May 3rd meeting, CM Dernoga requested that members submit their questions on the Adequate Public Facilities (APF) presentation. The questions were shared with the presenters. CM Dernoga started today's meeting discussion on APF and parks and schools.

- Need to have Parks respond to questions on parks adequacy, level of service and their Master Plan. CM Dernoga thought that parks are based on number of acres per number of people. Is the county meeting that? Mr. Forman: number of units equals the percentage of land dedicated to parks. CM Dernoga suggested that there should be an APF for Parks. Questions on mandatory dedication requirements, adequate funding, available land, and active /passive recreation and are the requirements being met. (potential report item: Policy for Task Force)

- School Adequacy Test. State set but can commission change it? Presenters' response: Yes, you can change test but changing the consequences (penalty) requires a change to Subtitle 10 Surcharge. Mr. Forman, School Board not adjusting boundaries not the fault of developers. Resale adding to overcrowding not redevelopment.
- Mr. Weiss: Public cannot give input in APF per new Subdivision regulations. Certificate of Adequacy has no appeal process, public not involved in debate and can't weigh in. Presenter's response: council adopted. It's a new process, certificate is separate from and can happen before preliminary plan. Transportation reason for updating certificate. Now includes scoping process and scoping agreement, the transportation test for specific intersections, and is valid for 12 years (preliminary plans valid for 6 years). Certificate is separate and apart from preliminary plan. But need certificate before preliminary plan submittal. Transportation scoping within a year. Scoping -> certificate -> expectation of submittal of preliminary plan within year due to transportation analysis timeframe. Level of Service includes background analysis. (Anticipated traffic due to unbuilt approved plans.) It is appealable.
- Discussion on permit lifespan extensions; 'Pay rates of 2007 or 2010 and not current rates'.
- Capital Improvement Plans (CIP) – capital expenditures and Plan 2035. Assess where County is at. Mr. Tutman: finances controlling; finance reality of county, 'how much growth can the county sustain'. Mention of RFP study which will look at priorities/ need/cost correlation with growth outside centers. Mr. Riley: sprawl issue, evaluate traffic concerns. Mr. Rowe: Mismatch perceptions. the county is located outside a major city, cars drive through county and don't spend money in county. Folks move to county and then complain about the traffic they created. Route 301 traffic – there was an alternative road planned and planned growth assuming this road then state didn't build it. APF doesn't count for 40 years of growth when there were no subdivision regulations. Can't stop development, folks then move to Anne Arundel or Calvert Counties and then drive through our county. Plan 2035 looks at how it all works together. Can't just widen roads and running out of green fields for development and running out of revenue. CM Dernoga: development occurring in green fields inside beltway and causing climate issues.
- Ms Croom: what will we do about the communities already here? The failure of past developments. Mr. Weiss: areas outside TODs are being neglected (get warehouse developments) while TODs get priorities (tech centers).

Next meeting agenda (June) will focus on Parks and the members were asked to submit their questions in advance so they can be circulated. Next meeting is in-person June 10 4:00 – 5:00 pm.

Adjournment

Future Meeting Dates:

- **June 10 4 pm – 5 pm**
- July 8 4 pm – 5 pm

Future dates to be determined