

ITEM 4

# Results from Survey (June 10, 2024)



# Results from Survey (June 10, 2024)

## **James Riley:**

- DPIE needed to address the questions that Park and Planning had.

## **Andrea Crooms: :**

- How to better allocate costs of infrastructure so we can prioritize resilience
- Opportunities to improve public transportation in the county
- The solar development process
- What we can do to improve the process of “on the book”/”grandfathered” development– where they don’t meet current expectations
- Whether we can change HOW properties are developed, changing grading regs so that the land is not clear cut and then the soil and trees “put back”
- How the stormwater development MEP evaluation process works and how we can implement more transparency in that evaluation (DPIE to present).

# Results from Survey (June 10, 2024)

## **Ron Weiss:**

- APFO: Make a recommendation on how to mitigate our large and ever-increasing lack of adequate public facilities.
- Economic Development. Invite speaker such as EDC President David Iannucci and the heads of agencies responsible for providing the facilities needed to support the development

## **Walter Simmons:**

- Workforce Development. Currently, the County doesn't have the staffing nor a talent pipeline to align with our economic development goals.

# Results from Survey (June 10, 2024)

## **Scott Rowe / Kierre McCune:**

- Prior to the next meeting, all Task Force members should read/re-read Introduction (pages 5-25) and Priority Strategies (page 251-268) of Plan 2035 and come to the next meeting prepared with any questions about the content on those pages.
- The very next meeting of this Task Force should be a presentation of Plan 2035 from the Planning Department. Subsequent meetings should cover the following topics:
  - a. Climate Action Plan (DoE)
  - b. Report from the Blue Ribbon Commission on Addressing the Structural Deficit (this can come from any former members or staff of the Blue Ribbon Commission)
  - c. Invite ULI Washington to present a panel on Challenges to Transit-Oriented Development in Prince George's County.
- From this point, the task force should consider what steps they would recommend the Council take. The Council has primary responsibility for the following implementation action: Funding capital projects, amending the County Code to facilitate development and redevelopment, applying their platform to promote and sell Plan 2035.

# Results from Survey (June 10, 2024)

## **Evelyn Hoban:**

- Making sure that the water and sewer infrastructure can support future infill development and development of the downtowns and innovation corridors. Water and sewer lines not necessarily present in category 3 areas.
- What agency or who is monitoring agencies to ensure that policies/plans are consistent with Plan 2035 goals?
- Agritourism efforts and innovation also align with protection of water supply and land use.
- Did the covid-19 aftermath change anything in the Plan 2035, such as more people working from home, increased outdoor activity?
- Impact of climate change such as heat island impacts, flash flooding, etc. on facilities, soil temps, local agriculture, homes?
- What is the impact of health impact assessments currently being completed by the health department on development projects?
- What information do we have about commuter biking vs leisure biking in the county? Are trails connected so people don't have to go through DC to get from north county to south county.
- Status of encouraging co location of services such as health care and libraries, day car and senior centers?
- Status of improve mobility options for elderly, low income, disabled to have healthy foods, health care, exercise options and transit near by.
- Initiatives of municipalities to align with Plan 2035 in their communities.

THANK YOU