

**PLAN PRINCE GEORGE'S 2035 IMPLEMENTATION  
AND INFRASTRUCTURE TASK FORCE**

**October 28, 2024 Meeting Minutes**

**Attendees:**

CM Thomas Dernoga  
Tony Felts  
Brian Halloran  
Frederick Tutman

Evelyn Hoban  
Katina Shoulars  
Steve Brigham  
Ashlee Green

**Staff:**

Natalia Gomez  
Rana Hightower

**Guests:**

Lakisha Hull, Planning Director, The Maryland National Capital Park and Planning Commission.

**Welcome** (CM Dernoga)

**Attendance** – No quorum.

**Recap of Last Meeting -**

**Power Point Presentations:** Data Collection Reports for FY25 by Lakisha Hull, Planning Director, and results from the survey requesting ideas for future agendas by Natalia Gomez.

**Discussion:**

Questions were asked about the PowerPoint presentation by Director Hull: - CM Dernoga: Are these numbers consistent with the Census? A: we will verify that information, but our data is tied to the American Community Survey. - CM Dernoga: Does this report include information on the population loss we experienced in the County? A: Yes, the Census tracks that information, and we included the migration trends in this report. - CM Dernoga: Where can we find that information on the park and planning website? A: In pgplanning.org in the publications tab. - CM Dernoga: What is the methodology used for the Pupil Yield Factors and School Clusters report? A: We pulled the data available from the Census and provided by schools. - CM Dernoga: is there any cooperation between schools, parks, and planning? A: We make sure that the data resources justify our trends, including the data provided by schools. - CM Dernoga: the school system is reluctant to provide some information; how are you collecting their data? A: The school system is welcome to review the data presented in the report, and they are welcome to point out any data that does not match theirs as part of our data updates. Also, there is an upcoming coordination meeting with them, where I will discuss this topic with them to smooth out anything that needed to be clarified in the past. – Ms. Hoban: Can you include agrotourism and following trends in future reports? A: I will look into that; in preparation, the Planning Department is doing site visits and tours to farmlands. – Mr. Brigham: What insight is the Retail, Office, Industrial, and Institutional Development report telling us? A: The report points to areas like strip malls that can be suitable and attractive for large employers; we are looking at the employment, industry, and retaining trends. We are looking for industries that can be catalysts for others, including incubator spaces and other parts of the County that could be interested in relocating here. – Mr. Brigham: There are concerns about potential displacement in strip malls due to the redevelopment that the Purple Line will bring. A: We are mindful of the impacts the purple line can bring, and we want to include the other agencies connected to the project. - CM Dernoga: Does any of the reports list the cost of developments and potential revenue from residential development? Ms. Felts: The cost-of-benefit analysis you requested will be included in a study that the Planning Department is currently processing; we are in the preliminary stage with the consultant. This report is not covered in FY25. - CM Dernoga: Does the Costar

study include the affordable housing available in the County, or does it include any breakdown of the housing stock that is considered affordable? A: Reports are prepared by the Planning Department, and studies are prepared by consultants. The areas you touch on will be included in the missing middle housing study that is coming up soon. - CM Dernoga: Will the future reports include a public facilities analysis including APF standards? Ms. Shoulars: APF analysis is included in the fiscal impact study the consultants are working on. We will look into deeper standards and performance to determine its effects on the County. – Ms. Green: Are any of the reports covering aging in place? A: This topic will be included in the missing middle housing study, and I will make sure the consultant does a deeper dive. I hope to have a version for you to provide feedback on.

-Discussion on results from the survey requesting ideas for future agendas: - CM Dernoga: What does the task force think we should prioritize from the ideas received? – Ms. Hull: Prioritize the policies in the 2035 Plan. – Mr. Brigham: The status of encouraging co-location of services and improved mobility options for elderly, low-income, and disabled people to have healthy foods, health care, exercise options, and transit nearby. - CM Dernoga: I agree with the co-location of services from the budget standpoint. Ensuring the water and sewer infrastructure can support future infill development could be combined with how the stormwater development MEP evaluation process works and how we can implement more transparency in that evaluation. – Mr. Tutman: There is a county-by-county study regarding the water and sewer infrastructure that needs upgrading. I can share it with the members of the task force. - CM Dernoga: We would need an update from DPIE on the floodplain analysis and their technical manual. – Ms. Hull: The Green LEED Conference is coming up soon, and these topics will be covered. - CM Dernoga: Can we have a report on the conference report for the December session?

-Discussion on closing remarks – CM Dernoga: Another topic we could include in the poll that will be sent to the members is climate change and the impact of heat islands. Find a speaker who can present on these topics. – Ms. Hull: We work with Director Crooms on that effort.

Next meeting is in-person November 18, 3:30 – 4:30 pm

## **Adjournment**

Future Meeting Dates:

Future dates to be determined